

Side-By-Side Comparison

Decision Making Models: When They Work Well

	Autocratic	Avoidant	Consensus	Consent	Consultative	Delegation	Democratic	Stochastic
Urgent	✓			✓		✓		
Non-Urgent		✓	✓					
Wide-Impact			✓				✓	
Narrow Impact				✓		✓		✓
Information is Readily Available	✓						✓	
Information is Lacking		✓			✓			
Way Forward is Clear	✓					✓		
Way Forward is Unclear		✓			✓			


	Autocratic	Avoidant	Consensus	Consent	Consultative	Delegation	Democratic	Stochastic
Well-Defined Options				✓			✓	
Undefined Options		✓			✓			
Predictable Outcomes	✓					✓		
Uncertain Outcomes		✓						✓
Concentrated Expertise (you)	✓	✓						✓
Concentrated Expertise (not you)					✓	✓		
Dispersed Expertise			✓		✓			
Lack of Expertise					✓	✓		
Irreversible Consequences			✓					
Reversible Consequences				✓				
Team Has Candor			✓	✓				
Team Lacks Candor	✓				✓			
Objective Opinions			✓	✓			✓	
Subjective Opinions	✓							
High Risk			✓					
Low Risk						✓		✓
Need to Gather Support				✓	✓			
No Need to Gather Support	✓	✓						

Browse all decision making models:

[Autocratic](#), [Avoidant](#), [Consensus](#), [Consent](#), [Consultative](#), [Delegation](#), [Democratic](#), [Stochastic](#)

There are lots of group decision-making models. Explore each and find one that works well for your group's needs and circumstance.

TRY THIS ON SLACK



NOBΞ